FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

AUG -3 2011 🙀

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Chromas Deputy

K THOMAS

JACK J. GRYNBERG, an individual; and PRICASPIAN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a Texas Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TODD M. FICETO, an individual; HUNTER WORLD MARKETS, INC., a California Corporation; FLORIAN HOMM, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: BC 396756

[Case Assigned to Hon. Michael C. Solner,

Dept. "39"]

Complaint Filed: 08-21-2008 Trial Date: 07-19-2011

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

TRIAL DATE: July 19, 2011

TIME:

10:00 a.m.

DEPT:

"39"

SPECIAL VERDICT

We, the jury in the above entitled action, find the following special verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Question No. 1: Did Florian Homm make a false representation of an important fact to Plaintiff Pricaspian Development Corporation?

Answer "yes" or "no"

Answer:

Defendant Florian Homm

Yes No

If you answer Question No. 1 "yes," then answer the next question. If you answer "no," then please proceed to Question No. 7.

26

27

28

988-4750-0001

1	represe	entation reckle					manon	was 1a150	z, or ara m	e make i
2		Answer "yes'	or "no"				Vas	No		
3		Answer:	Defendant	Florian Hom	nm		Yes X	No		
4	nlassa.	If you answer			nen answer	the next o	uestior	ı. If you	answer "n	o," then
5	picase	proceed to Qu	iestion ivo. 7	•						
6		Question No	3. Did Flo	rian Homm i	intend that I	Pricasnian	Devel	onment C	'ornoratio	n rely on
7	the rep	presentation?	. J. Dia 1 io		mena mai i	Heaspian	DOVO	оринене С	orporation	irrery on
8		Answer "yes	" or "no"				Yes	No		
9		Answer:	Defendant	Florian Hon	nm		×_			
10	please	If you answe proceed to Qu			nen answer	the next o	luestior	ı. If you	answer "n	o," then
11	Presse	process to Q	,							
12		Question No	. 4: Did Pri	caspian Deve	elopment Co	orporation	ı reasor	nably rely	on the	
13	repres	entation?		•	•	•				
14		Answer "yes	" or "no"			Yes	No			
15		Answer:				_X_		-		
16	please	If you answe proceed to Qu			hen answer	the next of	luestion	ı. If you	answer "n	o," then
17	-									
18 19	anhete	Question No antial factor in							ne represei	ntation a
20	Suosia	Answer "yes	•	.i to i ricaspia	an Developi	mem corp	Joration	1:		
21		Answer:	Of HO			Yes	No			
22		If you answe	r Question N	Jo 5 "ves " tl	hen answer	the next of	uestion	- n. If vou	answer "n	o." then
23	please	proceed to Qu					1	,		
24	///									
25	///									
26	///									
27										
28										
	988-4750-00	001			-2-					

1		6.6: Were Todd Ficeto and Help are equally responsible for				
2	Answer "yes" or "no" as to each defendant. Yes No					
3	Answer:	Defendant Todd Ficeto Defendant Hunter World M	_	x es	No X	
5	Please proce	eed to Question No. 7.				
6						
7	Question N Plaintiff Pricaspian	10.7: Did Florian Homm intended Development Corporation did	tionally fail to dis not know and cou	close a ıld not	an important fact that reasonably have discovered?	
8	Answer "ye	s" or "no"	,	Yes	No	
9	Answer:	Defendant Florian Homm		<u>X</u> _		
10	If you answ	ver Question No. 7 "yes," then a	answer the next qu	estion	. If you answer "no," then	
11	please proceed to C	Juestion No. 12.				
12			1		D. 1	
13	Question N concealing the fact	No. 8: Did Florian Homm inter?	id to deceive Prica	ispian	Development Corporation by	
14	Answer "ye	es" or "no"		Yes	No	
15	Answer:	Defendant Florian Homm		X_		
16	If you answ please proceed to (ver Question No. 8 "yes," then a Question No. 12.	answer the next qu	uestion	. If you answer "no," then	
17						
18	Ouestion N	No. 9: Did Pricaspian Develop	ment Corporation	rely o	n Florian Homm's deception,	
19	and was such relian	nce reasonable under the circur	nstances?	·		
20	Answer "ye	es" or "no"	Yes	No		
21	Answer:		*			
22	If you answ please proceed to (ver Question No. 9 "yes," then	answer the next qu	uestior	n. If you answer "no," then	
23	please proceed to	Question 140. 12.				
24						
25						
26						
27	Table 1					
28	988-4750-0001					
	708-4130-0001		- 3 -			

1	Question No. 10: Was Florian Hor Pricaspian Development Corporation?	nm's concealment a si	ubstantia	ıl factor in c	ausing harm	to
2	Answer "yes" or "no"					
3	Answer:	Yes	No 	_		
4	If you answer Question No. 10 "yes	," then answer the nex	kt questi	on. If you a	ınswer "no," t	hen
5	please proceed to Question No. 12.					
6						
7	Question No. 11: Were Todd Fice Florian Homm so they are equally responsi Development Corporation?					1
8	Answer "yes" or "no" as to each def	fendant.	.			
9 10	Answer: Defendant Todd Fice Defendant Hunter W		Yes	No _ X		
11	Please proceed to Question No. 12.					
12	Total Process					
13	Question No. 12: Did Pricaspian I	Development Corporat	ion have	e a right to p	oossess the mo	oney
14	it invested into the Absolute Capital Funds			0 1		•
15	Answer "yes" or "no"	Yes	No			
16	Answer:	\times		_		
17	If you answer Question No. 12 "yes please proceed to Question No. 18.	s," then answer the nex	kt questi	on. If you a	ınswer "no," t	hen
18						
19	Question No. 13: Did Defendant F Pricaspian Development Corporation inves				on of the mon	ıey
20	Answer "yes" or "no" as to each de		Capitai i	runus:		
21	Answer: Defendant Florian H		Yes	No		
22	If you answer Question No. 13 "yes		than an		vt quaction ac	ı to
23	that defendant. If you answer "no" as to ea					10
24	///					
25	///					
26	///					
27	The second secon					
28	1. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.					

1	Question No. 14: Did Pricaspian Development Corporation consent to allowing the defendants to have possession of the money it invested into the Absolute Capital Funds?
2	Answer "yes" or "no"
3	Yes No Answer:
4	If you answer Question No. 14 "no," then answer the next question. If you answer "yes," then
5	please proceed to Question No. 18.
6	
7	Question No. 15: Was Pricaspian Development Corporation harmed by the defendants taking possession of the money it invested into the Absolute Capital Funds?
8	Answer "yes" or "no"
9	Answer: Yes No
10	If you answer Question No. 15 "yes," then answer the next question. If you answer "no," then
11	please proceed to Question No. 18.
12	
13	Question No. 16: Was the conduct of defendants Florian Homm, a substantial factor in causing Pricaspian Development Corporation's harm?
14	Answer "yes" or "no" as to each defendant. Yes No
15	Answer: Defendant Florian Homm
16 17	If you answer Question No. 16 "yes," then answer the next question. If you answer "no," then please proceed to Question No. 18.
18	
19	Question No. 17: Were Todd Ficeto and Hunter World Markets, Inc. co-conspirators with
20	Florian Homm so they are equally responsible for the conversion of Pricaspian Development Corporation's investment?
21	Answer "yes" or "no" as to each defendant. Yes No
22	Answer: Defendant Todd Ficeto X
23	Defendant Hunter World Markets, Inc.
24	Please proceed to Question No. 18.
25	· ///
26	
27	
28	
	988-4750-0001

1 2	Question No. 18: Did Pricaspian Development Corporation violate a duty of good faith or conscience in connection with its investment in the Absolute Capital Funds?
3	Answer "yes" or "no"
4	Answer: Yes No X
5	If you answer Question No. 18 "yes," then do not answer any further questions. If you answer "no," then please proceed to Question No. 19.
6	
7	Question No. 19:
8	If you answered "Yes" to <i>any</i> of the defendants in Question Nos. 5, 6, 10, 11 or 17, then what are Pricaspian Development Corporation's damages?
10	Economic Damages \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
11	Please proceed to the next Question No. 20.
12	
13	Question No. 20: Is Plaintiff Pricaspian Development Corporation entitled to interest on its economic damages?
14	Answer "yes" or "no" Yes No
15	Answer: Yes No
16	If you answer Question No. 20 "yes," then answer the next question. If you answer "no," then please proceed to Question No. 22.
17	piease proceed to Question No. 22.
18 19	Question No. 21: Is the interest due to Plaintiff Pricaspian Development Corporation simple interest or compound interest?
20	Answer:
21	Simple Interest: Compound Interest:
22	Please proceed to Question No. 22.
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	<i>///</i>
27	
28	/// 988-4750-0001
1	- 6 -

1	Question No. 22: Di in conduct with malic	id you find by clear and convincing evidence that any of the defendants engaged ce, oppression, or fraud warranting an award of punitive damages.
2		Yes No
3	Answer:	Defendant Florian Homm Defendant Hunter World Markets, Inc.
4		Defendant Todd Ficeto
5		•
6		
7	Dated: 8311	
8	1 1 .	FOREPERSON Brian Holbrook
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

- 7 -

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELS

AUG -3 2011 VA

John A. Clarke. Executive Officer/Olor!:

By Tunner Topics

IK THOMAS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

PRECASPIAN DEVELOPM	MENT	
CORPORATION, a Texas C	Corporation,	
	Plaintiff(s)	
v.	,)
TODD M. FICETO, and indi	ividual; HUNTER)
WORLD MARKETS, INC.,	A California	
Corporation; FLORIAN HO	MM, an individual,	
	Defendant(s)	VERDICT - PUNITIVE DAMAGES
		Case Number: BC396756
damages, after having heard Florian Homm	2 mil	1:
Hunter World Markets, Inc.	2 mi)	
Dated: 8 3 11		
•		Presiding Juror
		Brian Holbrook